

Asymptotics of the Stirling numbers of the second kind revisited: A saddle point approach

Guy Louchard*

March 16, 2012

Abstract

Using the saddle point method and multiserries expansions, we obtain from the generating function of the Stirling numbers of the second kind $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ and Cauchy's integral formula, asymptotic results in central and non-central regions. In the central region, we revisit the celebrated Gaussian theorem with more precision. In the region $m = n - n^\alpha$, $1 > \alpha > 1/2$, we analyze the dependence of $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ on α . This paper fits within the framework of Analytic Combinatorics.

Keywords: Stirling numbers of the second kind, Asymptotics, Saddle point method, Multiserries expansions, Analytic Combinatorics.

1 Introduction

Let $\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ be the Stirling number of the second kind. Their generating function is given by

$$\sum_n \frac{m!}{n!} \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ m \end{smallmatrix} \right\} z^n = f(z)^m,$$
$$f(z) := e^z - 1.$$

In the sequel all asymptotics are meant for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us summarize the related litterature. The asymptotic Gaussian approximation in the central region is proved in Harper [7]. See also Bender [1], Sachkov [13] and Hwang [10].

In the non-central region, $m = n - n^\alpha$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, most of the previous papers use the solution of

$$\frac{\rho e^\rho}{e^\rho - 1} = \frac{n}{m}. \quad (1)$$

As shown in the next section, this actually corresponds to a Saddle point.

Let us mention

- Hsu [8]:

For $t = o(n^{1/2})$

$$\left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} n+t \\ n \end{smallmatrix} \right\} = \frac{n^{2t}}{2^{2t} t!} \left[1 + \frac{f_1(t)}{n} + \frac{f_2(t)}{n^2} + \dots \right],$$
$$f_1(t) = \frac{1}{3} t(2t+1).$$

*Université Libre de Bruxelles, Département d'Informatique, CP 212, Boulevard du Triomphe, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium, email: louchard@ulb.ac.be

- Moser and Wyman [12]:

For $t = o(\sqrt{n})$,

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ n-t \end{matrix} \right\} = \binom{n}{t} q^{-t} \left[1 + \frac{(t)_2}{12} q + \frac{(t)_2}{288} q^2 + \dots \right],$$

$$q = \frac{2}{n-t}.$$

For $n-m \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{n!(e^\rho - 1)^m}{2\rho^n m! (\pi m \rho H)^{1/2}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{m\rho} \left(\frac{15C_3^2}{16\rho^2 H} - \frac{3C_4}{4\rho H^2} \right) + \dots \right],$$

$$H = \frac{e^\rho(e^\rho - 1 - \rho)}{2(e^\rho - 1)^2},$$

C_3, C_4 are functions of ρ .

- Good [6]:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n+t \\ t \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{(t+n)!(e^\rho - 1)^t}{t! \rho^{t+n} [2\pi t(1+\kappa - (1+\kappa)^2 e^{-\rho})]^{1/2}} \left[1 + \frac{g_1(\kappa)}{t} + \frac{g_2(\kappa)}{t^2} + \dots \right],$$

$$\kappa := \frac{n}{t},$$

$$g_1(\kappa) = \frac{3\lambda_4 - 5\lambda_3^2}{24},$$

$$\lambda_i = \kappa_i(\rho)/\sigma^i,$$

$$\sigma = \kappa_2(\rho)^{1/2},$$

$$\kappa_1 = \kappa, \kappa_2 = (\kappa_1 + 1)(\rho - \kappa_1).$$

- Bender [1]:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} \sim \frac{n! e^{-\alpha m}}{m! \rho^{n-1} (1+e^\alpha) \sigma \sqrt{2\pi n}},$$

$$\frac{n}{m} = (1+e^\alpha) \ln(1+e^{-\alpha}),$$

$$\rho = \ln(1+e^{-\alpha}),$$

$$\sigma^2 = \left(\frac{m}{n} \right)^2 [1 - e^\alpha \ln(1+e^{-\alpha})],$$

It is easy to see that ρ here coincides with the solution of (1). Bender's expression is similar to Moser and Wyman's result.

- Bleick and Wang [2]:

Let ρ_1 be the solution of

$$\frac{\rho_1 e^{\rho_1}}{e^{\rho_1} - 1} = \frac{n+1}{m}.$$

Then

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{n!(e^{\rho_1} - 1)^m}{(2\pi(n+1))^{1/2} m! \rho_1^n (1-G)^{1/2}} \times$$

$$\times \left[1 - \frac{2 + 18G - 20G^2(e^{\rho_1} + 1) + 3G^3(e^{2\rho_1} + 4e^{\rho_1} + 1) + 2G^4(e^{2\rho_1} - e^{\rho_1} + 1)}{24(n+1)(1-G)^3} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^2) \right],$$

$$G = \frac{\rho_1}{e^{\rho_1} - 1}.$$

The series is convergent for for $m = o(n^{2/3})$.

- Temme [16]:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = e^A m^{n-m} \binom{n}{m} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k f_k(t_0) m^{-k},$$

$$f_0(t_0) = \left(\frac{t_0}{(1+t_0)(\rho-t_0)} \binom{n}{m} \right)^{1/2},$$

$$t_0 = \frac{n}{m} - 1,$$

where A is a function of ρ, n, m .

- Tsylova [17]:

Let $m = tn + o(n^{2/3})$.

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{(\gamma n)^n}{\sqrt{2\pi\delta n}(\gamma n)^m} \exp[-(m - tn)^2/(2\delta n)] (1 + o(1)),$$

$$\gamma(1 - e^{-1/\gamma}) = \gamma,$$

$$\delta = e^{-1/\gamma}(t - e^{-1/\gamma}).$$

After some algebra, this coincides with Moser and Wyman's result.

- Chelluri, Richmond and Temme [3]:

They prove, with other techniques, that Moser and Wyman's expression is valid if $n - m = \Omega(n^{1/3})$ and that Hsu's formula is valid for $y - x = o(n^{1/3})$

- Erdos and Szekeres: see Sachkov [14], p.164:

Let $m < n/\ln n$,

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = \frac{m^n}{m!} \exp \left[\left(\frac{n}{m} - m \right) e^{-n/m} \right] (1 + o(1)).$$

All these papers simply use ρ as the solution of (1). They don't compute the detailed dependence of ρ on α for our non-central range, neither the precise behaviour of functions of ρ they use. Moreover, most results are related to the case $\alpha < 1/2$.

We will use multiserries expansions: multiserries are in effect power series (in which the powers may be non-integral but must tend to infinity) and the variables are elements of a scale: details can be found in Salvy and Shackell [15]. The scale is a set of variables of increasing order. The series is computed in terms of the variable of maximum order, the coefficients of which are given in terms of the next-to-maximum order, etc. Actually we implicitly used multiserries in our analysis of Stirling numbers of the first kind in [11].

Our work fits within the framework of Analytic Combinatorics. A preliminary version of this paper was presented at ALEA 2012.

Let us finally mention that Hsu [9] consider some generalized Stirling numbers.

In Sec.2, we revisit the asymptotic expansion in the central region and in Sec.3, we analyse the non-central region $j = n - n^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1/2$. We use Cauchy's integral formula and the saddle point method.

2 Central region

Consider the random variable J_n , with probability distribution

$$\mathbb{P}[J_n = m] = Z_n(m),$$

$$Z_n(m) := \frac{\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\}}{B_n},$$

where B_n is the n th Bell number. The mean and variance of J_n are given by

$$M := \mathbb{E}(J_n) = \frac{B_{n+1}}{B_n} - 1,$$

$$\sigma^2 := \mathbb{V}(J_n) = \frac{B_{n+2}}{B_n} - \frac{B_{n+1}}{B_n} - 1.$$

Let ζ be the solution of

$$\zeta e^\zeta = n.$$

This immediately leads to

$$\zeta = W(n),$$

where W is the Lambert function (we use the principal branch, which is analytic at 0). We have the well-known asymptotic

$$\zeta = \ln(n) - \ln \ln(n) + \frac{\ln \ln(n)}{\ln(n)} + \mathcal{O}(1/\ln(n)^2). \quad (2)$$

To simplify our expressions in the sequel, let

$$F := e^\zeta,$$

$$G := e^{\zeta/2}.$$

The multiseriess' scale is here $\{\zeta, G\}$.

Our result can be summarized in the following local limit theorem

Theorem 2.1 *Let $x = (m - M)/\sigma$. Then*

$$Z_n(m) = \frac{\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\}}{B_n} = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}G} \left[1 + \frac{x(-6\zeta + 2x^2\zeta + x^2 - 3)}{6G(1 + \zeta)^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^2) \right].$$

Proof. By Salvy and Shackell [15], we have

$$M = F + A_1 + \mathcal{O}(1/F),$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{F}{1 + \zeta} + A_3 + \mathcal{O}(1/F),$$

$$\frac{B_n}{n!} = \exp(T_1)H_0, \quad (3)$$

$$T_1 = -\ln(\zeta)\zeta F + F - \zeta/2 - \ln(\zeta) - 1 - \ln(2\pi)/2, \quad (4)$$

$$A_1 = -\frac{2 + 3/\zeta + 2/\zeta^2}{2(1 + 1/\zeta)^2},$$

$$A_3 = -\frac{2 + 8/\zeta + 11/\zeta^2 + 9/\zeta^3 + 2/\zeta^4}{2(1 + 1/\zeta)^4},$$

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{(1 + 1/\zeta)^{1/2}} \left[1 + A_5/F + \mathcal{O}(1/F^2) \right],$$

$$A_5 = -\frac{2 + 9/\zeta + 16/\zeta^2 + 6/\zeta^3 + 2/\zeta^4}{24(1 + 1/\zeta)^3}.$$

This leads to (from now on, we only provide a few terms in our expansions, but of course we use more terms in our computations), using expansions in G ,

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma &= \frac{G}{(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}} + \frac{A_3(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}}{2G} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^3), \\ \sigma &\sim \frac{G}{\sqrt{\zeta}} \sim \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\ln(n)}.\end{aligned}$$

We now use the Saddle point technique (for a good introduction to this method, see Flajolet and Sedgewick [4], ch.VIII). Let ρ be the saddle point and Ω the circle $\rho e^{i\theta}$. By Cauchy's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}Z_n(m) &= \frac{n!}{m!B_n} \frac{1}{2\pi\mathbf{i}} \int_{\Omega} \frac{f(z)^m}{z^{n+1}} dz \\ &= \frac{n!}{m!B_n\rho^n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(\rho e^{i\theta})^m e^{-ni\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{n!}{m!B_n\rho^n} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{m \ln(f(\rho e^{i\theta})) - ni\theta} d\theta \\ &= \frac{n!}{m!B_n\rho^n} \frac{f(\rho)^m}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp \left[m \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\kappa_2\theta^2 - \frac{\mathbf{i}}{6}\kappa_3\theta^3 + \dots \right\} \right],\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

$$\kappa_i(\rho) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u} \right)^i \ln(f(\rho e^u))|_{u=0}.\tag{6}$$

See Good [5] for an ancient but neat description of this technique.

Let us now turn to the Saddle point computation. ρ is the root (of smallest module) of

$$\begin{aligned}m\rho f'(\rho) - nf(\rho) &= 0, \text{ i.e.} \\ \frac{\rho e^{\rho}}{e^{\rho} - 1} &= \frac{n}{m},\end{aligned}$$

which is, of course identical to (1). After some algebra, this gives

$$\rho = \frac{n}{m} + W\left(-\frac{n}{m}e^{-n/m}\right).$$

In the central region, we choose

$$m = M + \sigma x = F + \frac{x}{(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}}G + A_1 + \frac{x A_3(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}}{2G} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^2).$$

This leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\ln(m) &= \zeta + \frac{x}{(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}G} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^2), \\ \frac{n}{m} &= \zeta - \frac{\zeta x}{(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}G} + \frac{-A_1\zeta + \zeta x^2/(1 + \zeta)}{G^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^3), \\ \rho &= \zeta - \frac{\zeta x}{(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}G} + \frac{\zeta(-A_1 + x^2/(1 + \zeta) - 1)}{G^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^3), \\ \ln(\rho) &= \ln(\zeta) - \frac{x}{(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}G} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^2).\end{aligned}$$

Let us remark that the coefficients of G powers are nice rational expressions in ζ .

Now we note that

$$\begin{aligned} e^\rho - 1 &= \rho e^\rho \frac{m}{n}, \\ \ln(e^\rho - 1) &= \rho + \ln(\rho) + \ln(m) - \ln(n), \\ \ln(n) &= \zeta + \ln(\zeta), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

so, by Stirling's formula, with (4), the first part of (5) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n!}{m! B_n \rho^n} f(\rho)^m &= \exp[T_2] H_1 H_2, \\ T_2 &= m(\rho + \ln(\rho) - \zeta - \ln(\zeta)) - (-m + \ln(2\pi)/2 + \ln(m)/2) - \zeta F \ln(\rho) - T_1, \\ H_1 &= 1/H_0 = (1 + 1/\zeta)^{1/2} - \frac{A_5(1 + 1/\zeta)^{1/2}}{G^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^4), \\ H_2 &= 1 \left/ \left[1 + \frac{1}{12m} + \frac{1}{288m^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/m^3) \right] \right. = 1 - \frac{1}{12G^2} + \frac{x}{12G^3(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^4). \end{aligned}$$

Note carefully that there is a cancellation of the term $m \ln(m)$ in T_2 . Using all previous expansions, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(T_2) &= e^{-x^2/2 + \ln(\zeta)} H_3, \\ H_3 &= 1 + \frac{x(-15\zeta - 6\zeta^2 - 6A_1 + x^2 - 12A_1\zeta - 6A_1\zeta^2 + 2x^2\zeta - 9)}{6(1 + \zeta)^{3/2}G} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^2). \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

We now turn to the integral in (5). We compute

$$\kappa_2 = -\frac{\rho e^\rho(-e^\rho + 1 + \rho)}{(e^\rho - 1)^2} = \zeta - \frac{\zeta x}{(1 + \zeta)^{1/2}G} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^2),$$

and similar expressions for the next κ_i that we don't detail here. Note that $\kappa_3, \kappa_5, \dots$ are useless for the precision we attain here. Now we use the classical trick of setting

$$m \left[-\kappa_2 \theta^2 / 2! + \sum_{l=3}^{\infty} \kappa_l (\mathbf{i}\theta)^\ell / \ell! \right] = -u^2 / 2.$$

Computing θ as a series in u , this gives, by inversion,

$$\theta = \frac{1}{G} \sum_1^{\infty} a_i u^i,$$

with, for instance

$$a_1 = \frac{1}{\zeta^{1/2}} + \frac{\zeta^{1/2}}{2G^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^3).$$

Setting $d\theta = \frac{d\theta}{du} du$, we integrate on $u = [-\infty, \infty]$: this extension of the range can be justified as in Flajolet and Segewick [4], ch.VIII. Now, inserting the term ζ coming in (8) as $e^{\ln(\zeta)}$, this gives

$$H_4 = \frac{\zeta^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}G} \left(1 + \frac{\zeta}{2G^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^3) \right).$$

Finally, combining all expansions,

$$Z_n(m) = \frac{\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\}}{B_n} = e^{-x^2/2} H_1 H_2 H_3 H_4 = R_1, \tag{9}$$

$$R_1 = e^{-x^2/2} \frac{(1+\zeta)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi G}} \left[1 + \frac{x(-6\zeta + 2x^2\zeta + x^2 - 3)}{6G(1+\zeta)^{3/2}} + \mathcal{O}(1/G^2) \right].$$

Note that the coefficient of the exponential term is asymptotically equivalent to the dominant term of $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}}$, as expected. More terms in this expression can be obtained if we compute $M, \sigma^2, B_n/n!$ with more precision. Also, using (2), our result can be put into expansions depending on $n, \ln n, \dots$ ■

To check the quality of our asymptotic, we have chosen $n = 3000$. This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= 6.184346264\dots, \\ G &= 22.02488900\dots, \\ M &= 484.1556441\dots, \\ \sigma &= 8.156422315\dots, \\ B_n &= 0.2574879583\dots 10^{6965}, \\ B_n a s &= 0.2574880457\dots 10^{6965}, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_n a s$ is given by (3). Figure 1 shows $Z_n(m)$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{(m-M)^2}{2}\right]$.




Figure 1: $Z_n(m)$ and $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{(m-M)^2}{2}\right]$

The fit seems quite good, but to have more precise information, we show in Figure 2 the quotient $Z_n(m) / \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma}} \exp\left[-\frac{(m-M)^2}{2}\right]$. The precision is between 0.05 and 0.10.

Figure 3 shows the quotient $Z_n(m) / R_1$. The precision is now between 0.004 and 0.01.

3 Large deviation, $m = n - n^\alpha$, $\alpha > 1/2$

We set

$$\varepsilon := n^{\alpha-1},$$




Figure 2: $Z_n(m) / \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp \left[- \frac{(m-M)^2}{2} \right]$




Figure 3: $Z_n(m) / R_1$

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} = n^{1-\alpha} \ll n^\alpha \ll n,$$

$$L := \ln(n).$$

The multiseries' scale is here $\{n^{1-\alpha}, n^\alpha, n\}$.

Our result can be summarized in the following local limit theorem

Theorem 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ m \end{Bmatrix} &= e^{T_1} R, \\ T_1 &= n^\alpha (T_{11}L + T_{10}), \\ R &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n^{\alpha/2}}} \left[R_0 + \frac{R_1}{n} + \frac{R_2}{n^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^3) \right], \\ R_0 &= R_{00} + \frac{R_{01}}{n^\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^{2\alpha}), \\ R_1 &= R_{10} + \frac{R_{11}}{n^\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^{2\alpha}), \\ R_2 &= R_{20} + \frac{R_{21}}{n^\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^{2\alpha}), \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{i,j}, R_{i,j}$ are power series in ε .

Proof. Using again the Lambert function, we derive successively (again we only provide a few terms here, we use a dozen of terms in our expansions)

$$\begin{aligned} m &= n(1 - \varepsilon), \\ \frac{n}{m} &= \frac{1}{1 - \varepsilon}, \\ \rho &= 2\varepsilon + \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon^2 + \frac{10}{9}\varepsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4), \\ \ln(m) &= L - \varepsilon - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ \ln(\rho) &= -L(1 - \alpha) + \ln(2) + \frac{2}{3}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

For the first part of the Cauchy's integral, we have, noting that $n\varepsilon = n^\alpha$, and using (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n!}{m! \rho^n} f(\rho)^m &= \exp(T) H_2, \\ T &= m(\rho + \ln(\rho) - L) - (-m + \ln(m)/2) + (-n + nL + L/2) - n \ln(\rho) \\ &= T_1 + T_0, \\ T_1 &= n^\alpha (T_{11}L + T_{10}), \\ T_{11} &= 2 - \alpha, \\ T_{10} &= 1 - \ln(2) - \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon - \frac{5}{9}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ T_0 &= \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ H_1 &= \exp(T_0) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon + \frac{3}{8}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ H_2 &= \left[1 + \frac{1}{12n} + \frac{1}{288n^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^3) \right] \Big/ \left[1 + \frac{1}{12m} + \frac{1}{288m^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/m^3) \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{12(\varepsilon - 1)n} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{288(\varepsilon - 1)^2 n^2} + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3/n^3).$$

Note again that there are cancellations, in T_1 of the terms $m \ln(m)$ and $\ln(2\pi)/2$.

Now we turn to the integral part. We obtain, for instance, using (6),

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_2 &= \varepsilon + \frac{4}{3}\varepsilon^2 + \frac{13}{9}\varepsilon^3 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4), \\ \theta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_1^\infty a_i u^i, \\ a_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon^2 - \frac{1}{72}\varepsilon^4 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^6) \right].\end{aligned}$$

Integrating, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}H_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n^{\alpha/2}}} \left[H_{31} + \frac{H_{32}}{n^\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^{2\alpha}) \right], \\ H_{31} &= 1 - \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon - \frac{1}{72}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ H_{32} &= -\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{72}\varepsilon - \frac{71}{864}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3).\end{aligned}$$

Now we compute

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} = e^{T_1} H_1 H_2 H_3 = e^{T_1} R, \quad (10)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}R &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi n^{\alpha/2}}} \left[R_0 + \frac{R_1}{n} + \frac{R_2}{n^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^3) \right], \\ R_0 &= R_{00} + \frac{R_{01}}{n^\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^{2\alpha}), \\ R_1 &= R_{10} + \frac{R_{11}}{n^\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^{2\alpha}), \\ R_2 &= R_{20} + \frac{R_{21}}{n^\alpha} + \mathcal{O}(1/n^{2\alpha}), \\ R_{00} &= 1 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \\ R_{01} &= -\frac{1}{12} - \frac{1}{36}\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2), \\ R_{10} &= -\frac{1}{12}\varepsilon - \frac{1}{9}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ R_{11} &= \frac{1}{144}\varepsilon + \frac{1}{108}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ R_{20} &= \frac{1}{288}\varepsilon + \frac{7}{864}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3), \\ R_{21} &= -\frac{1}{3456}\varepsilon - \frac{7}{10368}\varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3).\end{aligned}$$

Given some desired precision, how many terms must we use in our expansions? It depends on α . For instance, in T_1 , $n^\alpha \varepsilon^k \gg 1$ if $k < \alpha/(1-\alpha)$. Also ε^k in R_{00} is less than ε^ℓ/n in R_{10}/n if $k-\ell > 1/(1-\alpha)$. Any number of terms can be computed by almost automatic computer algebra. We use Maple in this paper. ■

To check the quality of our asymptotic, we have chosen $n = 100$ and a range $\alpha \in [1/2, 9/10]$, i.e. a range $m \in [37, 90]$. We use 5 or 6 terms in our final expansions. Figure 4 shows the quotient $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} / (e^{T_1} R)$. The precision is at least 0.0066. Note that the range $[M - 3\sigma, M + 3\sigma]$, where the Gaussian approximation is useful, is here $m \in [21, 36]$.




Figure 4: $\left\{ \begin{matrix} n \\ m \end{matrix} \right\} / (e^{T_1} R)$

We finally mention that our non-central range is not sacred: other types of ranges can be analyzed with similar methods.

References

- [1] E.A. Bender. Central and local limit theorems applied to asymptotics enumeration. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 15:91–111, 1973.
- [2] W. E. Bleick and P. C. C. Wang. Asymptotics of Stirling numbers of the second kind. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 42(2):575–580, 1974.
- [3] R. Chelluri, L. B. Richmond, and N. M. Temme. Asymptotic estimates for generalized Stirling numbers. Technical report, CWI, MAS-R9923, 1999.
- [4] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick. *Analytic combinatorics*. Cambridge University press, 2009.
- [5] I. J. Good. Saddle-point methods for the multinomial distribution. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 28(4):861–881, 1957.
- [6] I. J. Good. An asymptotic formula for the differences of the power at zero. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 32(1):249–256, 1961.
- [7] L. H. Harper. Stirling behaviour is asymptotically normal. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 38:410–414, 1967.

- [8] L. C. Hsu. Note on an asymptotic expansion of the n th differences of zero. *Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 19:273–277, 1948.
- [9] L. C. Hsu. A unified approach to generalized Stirling numbers. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 20:366–384, 1998.
- [10] H.K. Hwang. On convergence rates in the central limit theorems for combinatorial structures. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 19:329–343, 1998.
- [11] G. Louchard. Asymptotics of the stirling numbers of the first kind revisited: A saddle point approach. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 18(2):167–184, 2010.
- [12] L. Moser and M. Wyman. Stirling numbers of the second kind. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 25:29–48, 1958.
- [13] V.N. Sachkov. *Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorial Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1997. Translated and adapted from the Russian original edition, Nauka,1978.
- [14] V.N. Sachkov. *Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorial Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [15] B. Salvy and J. Shackell. Symbolic asymptotics: Multiseries of inverse functions. *Journal of Symbolic Computation*, 20(6):543–563, 1999.
- [16] N.M. Temme. Asymptotic estimates of Stirling numbers. *Studies in Applied Mathematics*, 89:233–243, 1993.
- [17] E. G. Tsylova. Probabilistic methods for obtaining asymptotic formulas for generalized Stirling numbers. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 75(2):1607–1614, 1995.